

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK ANTHONY,)	
)	2:02-cv-1899-GEB-GGH-P
Plaintiff,)	
)	<u>RULING ON DEFENDANT'S</u>
v.)	<u>IN LIMINE MOTIONS</u>
)	
DEPUTY TODD HENRY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

On January 17, 2006, Defendant filed three enumerated motions in limine. Motions one and two are based on the premise that Plaintiff will seek to introduce evidence that does not comply with applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Evidence. These motions are denied because they need not be decided before trial.

The third motion seeks exclusion of evidence that a witness was convicted of a crime. Defendant's arguments in favor of exclusion are unpersuasive. Therefore, the motion is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2006

/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
United States District Judge